# HEARING PREPARATION

5

October 2021

- Applying definitions (consent, SA, DV)
- Relevance of questions/evidence
- Impact statements
- Cross examination preparation
- MUST attend hearing for statements to be included

#### **THE PROCESS**

Incident	Initial Assessment	Formal Investigation & Report	Hearing	Appeal
<ul> <li>Complaint or Notice to TIXC</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Following a formal complaint</li> <li>Jurisdiction</li> <li>Dismissal?</li> <li>Policy violation implicated?</li> <li>Reinstatement to another process?</li> <li>Informal or formal resolution?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Notice to Parties</li> <li>Identification of witnesses</li> <li>Interview scheduling</li> <li>Evidence collection</li> <li>Report drafted</li> <li>Evidence &amp; report shared</li> <li>Investigation report finalized</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Determination</li> <li>Cross- examination</li> <li>Sanction?</li> <li>Remedies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Standing?</li> <li>Vacate?</li> <li>Remand?</li> <li>Substitute?</li> </ul>

#### Ten-Day Reviews

- During the ten-day period when the report is being finalized, the advisee and Advisor may:
  - Suggest new witnesses
  - Suggest additional questions to be asked of parties or witnesses
  - Comment on the evidence
  - Offer new evidence
  - Challenge investigator determinations of what is relevant/directly related

#### Pre-Hearing Meetings

- Pre-hearing meetings can provide an opportunity to:
  - Answer questions about the hearing and its procedures
  - Clarify expectations regarding logistics, decorum, the role of Advisors, and technology
  - Discern whether parties intend to ask questions of any or all witnesses
  - Invite parties to submit questions in advance (not required)
  - Discern any conflicts of interest/vet recusal requests
  - Consider any questions regarding relevance of evidence or proposed questions and may
    make pre-hearing rulings

#### Document Review

- Presumption of non-responsibility
- Written Notice of Allegations (NOIA)
- Policy or policies alleged to have been violated
  - What does it take to establish a policy violation?
  - Identify the elements of each alleged offense
  - Break down the constituent elements of each relevant policy
- All the materials, carefully and thoroughly
- Review and re-review the investigation report
  - Note consistency/inconsistency of information—helps Decision-Makers know what to focus on in a hearing
- Review it a second time and note all areas of consistency of information
  - You don't need additional verification or questioning on these issues, of assuming the accuracy of consistent information (but beware of suspiciously consistent stories)
- Read it a third time to identify inconsistencies in the information
  - This is where you will concentrate your questions

# Preparing Questions

Write down the following as a reminder:

- What do I need to know?
- Why do I need to know it?
  - Does the question elicit information relevant to whether a policy violation occurred?
  - What is the best way to ask the question?
  - Who is the best person to get this information from? The investigator? A party? A witness?
- Advisors should prepare with their advisee so that they know what to ask of each witness/what their advisee wants them to ask
- When dealing with conflicting or contested testimony, apply a credibility analysis

# Credibility

- Primary factors: corroboration and consistency
- "Credible" is not synonymous with "truthful"
- Memory errors, evasion, misleading may impact credibility
- Avoid too much focus on irrelevant inconsistencies
- Credibility assessment may not be based on a person's status as a Complainant, Respondent, or Witness

# Credibility (EEOC)

- Inherent plausibility
  - "Does this make sense?"
  - Be careful of bias influencing sense of "logical"
- Motive to falsify
  - Do they have a reason to lie?
- Corroboration
  - Aligned testimony and/or physical evidence
  - Strongest indicator of credibility
  - Independent, objective authentication

- Past record
  - Is there a history of similar behavior?
  - Determinations of responsibility?
  - Past violations do not mean current violations
- Demeanor (use caution!)
  - Do they seem to be lying or telling the truth?
  - BE VERY CAREFUL
    - Humans are excellent at picking up nonverbal cues
    - Humans are terrible at spotting liars

# Day of the Hearing

- Dress professionally; layer if needed
- Arrive prepared and early
- Bring snacks and water
- Silence or turn off your phone and put it away
- Bring a pen and paper or note-taking device
- Clear your calendar after the hearing—deliberation could take 30 minutes or much longer

#### Hearing Decorum

- Be professional, but not lawyerly or judge-like
- Be respectful
- Use active listening skills
- Listen carefully to everything that is said
- Nod affirmatively
- Do not fidget, roll your eyes, or give a "knowing" look to another panel member
- Do not look shocked, smug, stunned, or accusing