Technology Prioritization and Impact Review Committee
5/30/19 – RPL325 kdw

Scheduled meeting – 11:00 – 12:00 in RPL325

Agenda 1: **Call to order by Mr. Ken Wester 11:04 AM**
Attendance: 6

Mr. Ken Wester	Mr. Wyatt Watson	Mrs. Sandy Cheffer
Mr. Matt Pipkins	Mrs. Jessica Brock	Mrs. Amy Pennington

Visitor/Presenter: 2
Mr. Delton Gordon (Housing)   Mr. Steve Milligan (IT)

Not in attendance: 7

Mr. Clinton Hall	Ms. Bernadette Hinkle	Mrs. Jessica Holloway
Mrs. Laury Fiorello	Dr. Johnette Moody	Dr. Jeff Robertson
Mr. Brent Drake		

Agenda 2: (Review/Approval of Minutes)

Mr. Wester passed around the minutes from April's Meeting for Review. Towards the end of the session, **Mr. Wester** asked for comments and if a motion for approving the minutes was appropriate. **Mr. Watson** made said motion, and **Mrs. Brock,** along with **Mr. Watson,** seconded the motion. April Minutes approved as written.

Agenda 3: (Review/Discussion of IPTV with Mr. Delton Gordon)

Mr. Wester started the conversation with a brief introduction to IPTV and what we asked to consider from Mr. Gordon's presentation.

Mr. Gordon showed a couple of short videos to introduce IPTV concepts and possible value adds to hardwired cable. It covered Streaming Services, HeadEnd Hardwired Services, and an addon to provide digital signage and channel injection possibilities.

He explained that the new system would be budget neutral with the new systems costs approximately what we are spending in the residence halls now on cable. But also included the added benefit of being usable by the rest of the University at no additional cost.

The current cable is limited to 1 port per room, and streaming would allow each person to stream to a device or multiple devices via WiFi. The feeds would be restricted to the University Network via IP and Login Access.

We would be able to select a variety of channels to be streamed, and the users through the portal could customize that stream further. Local Channels included.

The system portal would be accessed via an SSO (Single Sign-On) connection with the University systems.

The expected cost of the system at this time is approximately 117K with an additional 30K for the add-on features.

Mr. Milligan suggested that the Streaming system overall would be no more impact on the wireless than we are currently seeing, although we need to pay attention to the already identified wireless upgrades and additions we need to address triple and quadruple bedding in some residence halls and additional wireless density in others.

Mr. Wester stated his only concern would be moving forward with the HeadEnd system that would provide cable-like access via QAM TV units as this would put real stress on OIS with taking on the hard-wired cable infrastructure to provide this service.

Mr. Wester also noted that we need to be very aware of the expectation of providing these services as a network-based service and thus owning the responsibility for connectivity, throughput, and maintenance of the overall system. It was noted that with the streaming services, the company providing that service would be 1st line support. But Expectations are what they are.

Mr. Watson brought up several good points on usage and recommended that we stop hard-wired cable services and don't attempt to replace it with another like service.

Mr. Pippkins brought up whether Ozark could be included in the services, Mr. Gordon will check but believes that since we are the same network that shouldn't be an issue. Will Confirm.

Mr. Gordon reminded that the system would also respond to RAVE (Emergency) notifications as well as adding channel inputs for another video to be streamed in.

Mr. Wester suggested that he and **Mr. Gordon** come back with a more comprehensive proposal and project timeline to present to the greater committee and be available for wider discussion and review before the committee makes a final recommendation.

Agenda 4: (Discussion of 19/20 Budget)

Mr. Wester shared the current proposal for the 19/20 Technology Budget and went over the 5% cut to AFTSEM and AFTELE and 6.3 % to AAINEQ and 6.4% to AACOSV/AARPLI.

Mr. Wester shared how the budget was now allocated with the understanding he was using the Revenue expectations and Technology Reserve to make special purchases and help shore up the overall budget for 19/20. He noted that the budget could likely hold as long as no new items were added and no significant increases from vendors occurred. **Mr. Wester** stated that he would bring up the question of product ownership and possible transfer to other budget lines during the opening meetings of the 20/21 budget advisory.

Agenda 5: (Round Table)

Much of the time was spent on IPTV, and no one had anything critical to note.

Agenda 6: (Close) Meeting closed at 12:00 Noon