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B. Restatement of problem researched or creativity

The Mississippi Alluvial Valley (MAV) contains some of the most important migratory and wintering habitat for waterfowl in North America. The MAV provides wintering habitat for several million ducks and geese annually (Reinecke et al. 1989). Most waterfowl scientists and wetland managers assume foraging habitat is the limiting factor for waterfowl in winter. Given that over 70% of the bottomland hardwood forests that once provided quality waterfowl habitat within the MAV have been destroyed and altered, wetland managers are now focusing on using moist-soil management techniques to increase waterfowl foraging habitat within the MAV (King and Keeland 1999).

Several studies have examined moist-soil seed production on managed public wetlands and their contribution to waterfowl foraging (Kross 2006), however little is known about moist-soil seed production on private wetlands enrolled in the Wetlands Reserve program (WRP). The objective of this study was to evaluate moist soil seed production on WRP wetlands within the MAV of Arkansas and compare them to moist soil seed production on publicly managed wetlands. The WRP was created by the 1990 Farm Bill with the goal of assisting landowners in restoring and protecting wetlands on their property that were previously marginal farmland (Heimlich 1994). Arkansas is second only to Louisiana in total acres of wetlands enrolled in the WRP program (King et al. 2006). A previous study reported WRP sites in the MAV of Arkansas had a moist-soil seed abundance of 329.95 kg/ha with a coefficient of variation of 13.8% (Gann and Brennan 2007). However, due to the low sample size of this study and the fact that it did not directly compare seed production on WRP wetlands to seed production on publicly managed wetlands, further research is needed. In order to effectively manage for waterfowl habitat within
the MAV, knowledge of contribution of WRP wetlands to seed production and waterfowl foraging opportunities is necessary.

C. Brief Review of the Research Procedures

We used a Geographical Information System (GIS) data layer of current WRP sites in Arkansas to determine the highest density of WRP sites in MAV of Arkansas. Monroe, Prairie, White, Woodruff, and St. Francis counties had the highest densities of WRP sites in Arkansas. From these 5 counties, we randomly selected 22 WRP sites (Figure 1). We also sampled six public wetlands on wildlife management areas (WMA) managed by the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission. We sampled these sites from mid-October to early November. At each site we established a transect running either northeast to southwest or northwest to southeast. We used a 10 cm depth and diameter (785.4 cm$^3$) core sampler to take ten soil core samples along the established transect at random locations. Once sites were sampled, we stored the soil samples at -10°C until processing. Before processing, we combined the samples from each site into one aggregate sample for that site, and immersed the aggregate sample in a mixture of 3% hydrogen peroxide and water for a minimum of 3 hours. After the immersion period, we washed the samples through a series of graduated sieves (sizes 4 [4.75-mm aperture] and 50 [300-μm aperture]) to remove the soil and separate the large vegetative matter from the fine vegetative matter. Once the soil was removed, we dried the large and fine vegetative matter for 24 hours at a constant temperature of 87°C. We removed the seeds and tubers visually from the dried large vegetative matter using tweezers and a lighted 1.25x-magnifying lens. We then evenly distributed the fine vegetative matter over a grid of 100 equal sized cells (0.64 cm$^2$) and randomly selected a sub-sample of 25 cells. We visually sorted the sub-sample by the use of tweezers and a lighted 1.25x-magnifying lens. We weighed the samples and multiplied the
weights of the seeds and tubers from the fine vegetative matter by 4 (25% sub-sample). We
combined the masses of the seeds from the large and fine vegetative matter to get the total mass
per site and converted the masses to kg/ha (dry mass) for statistical analysis.

We used PROC SURVEY MEANS (SAS version 9.0) to calculate the mean moist-soil
seed mass on both public and private wetland sites and compared the difference between public
and private sites using an Analysis of Variance. We also used a linear regression analysis to
determine the effect of age of WRP site on moist-soil seed abundance.

D. Summary of findings.

Mean moist-soil seed production on WRP sites was 531.4 kg/ha (SE = 66.3), while mean
seed production on WMA sites was 862.1 kg/ha (SE = 111.6). There was no statistical
difference between seed production on WRP sites and WMA sites (F = 3.14, P = 0.09; Figure 2).
Age, or time since enrollment into the WRP program did not have a significant effect on moist-
soil seed production (R² = 0.02, P = 0.55; Figure 3).

E. Conclusions and recommendations

We estimated that the moist-soil seed abundance of WRP sites in the MAV of Arkansas
was 531.4 kg/ha. The WRP site estimate was similar to what Kross (2006) found on publicly
managed moist-soil units within the MAV at 496 kg/ha. While the results were not statistically
significant, there may be several biological differences between moist-soil seed production on
WRP and WMA sites. This difference in moist-soil seed production could have been due to the
difference in the management practices of the units. Most of the WRP sites that we sampled
were passively managed properties, meaning that no sort of management via soil disturbance or
water levels fluctuation were used to promote or reduce certain types of plant growth. In order to
increase moist-soil seed production, we would recommend landowners to actively manage their
moist-soil units to promote plants that are beneficial to wintering waterfowl.
Arkansas has approximately 77,000 ha enrolled into WRP with approximately 20% (±5) of land being moist-soil units (King et. al 2006). Duck-Energy-Days (DED) represent the average number of dabbling ducks that can obtain the daily energy requirement from a hectare of foraging habitat for a day (K. Reinecke, personal communication). Using the estimated moist-soil seed abundance of WRP sites in the MAV of Arkansas and the number of hectares enrolled into WRP, we estimated that Arkansas’ WRP sites provide 63 million (± 15) DED. Even though moist-soil seed production on WRP sites was similar to that of publicly managed moist-soil units, increased efforts should be made to actively manage WRP sites, including seasonal flooding to improve waterfowl foraging opportunities.
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Figure 1. Sampling locations of public (WMA) and private (WRP) wetlands in Arkansas used to evaluate moist-soil seed production.
Figure 2. Mean moist-soil seed production on public (WMA) (n = 6) and private (WRP) (n = 22) wetland sites in Arkansas.
Figure 3. Effect of age (time since enrollment into WRP program) on moist-soil seed production on WRP sites in the Mississippi Alluvial Valley of Arkansas.