Think Critically Scoring Rubric

Instructions: Place an X in the yellow box under the description that most closely aligns with the accomplishment of the artifact.

	Mastered	Proficient	Competent	Advanced Beginner	Novice
	4	3	2	1	0
Explanation of issues: Issue/problem to be considered critically.	Stated clearly and described comprehensively, delivering all relevant information necessary for full understanding.	Stated, described, and clarified so that understanding is not seriously impeded by omissions.	Stated but description leaves some terms undefined, ambiguities unexplored, boundaries undetermined, and/or backgrounds unknown.	Stated without clarification or description.	Not stated.
Evidence Selecting and using	Enough interpretation/evaluation of sources to develop a comprehensive analysis or synthesis.	Enough interpretation/evaluation of sources to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis.	Some interpretation/evaluation of sources, but not enough to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis.	Sources without any interpretation/ evaluation.	No sources of information are provided.
information to					
investigate a point of view or conclusion.	Viewpoints of experts are provided and questioned thoroughly.	Viewpoints of experts are provided and subject to some questioning.	Viewpoints of experts are provided with very little questioning.	Viewpoints of experts are provided and accepted as fact; no questioning.	Viewpoints of experts are not presented or questioned.
Influence of assumptions and contexts	Systematically and methodically analyzes own and others' assumptions.	Identifies own and others' assumptions.	May be more aware of others' assumptions than one's own (or vice versa).	Shows an emerging awareness of present assumptions (sometimes labels assertions as assumptions).	Shows no awareness of present assumptions.
	Carefully evaluates the relevance of many contexts.	Clearly identifies several relevant contexts.	Identifies one or two relevant contexts.	Begins to identify contexts.	Does not identify contexts.
Student's position	Imaginative, taking into account				
(perspective, thesis/hypothosis)	the complexities of an issue. Limits of position are acknowledged.	Takes into account the complexities of an issue.	Acknowledges different sides of an issue.	Stated, but is simplistic and obvious.	Specific position is not stated.



Others' points of view (perspective, thesis/hypothesis)	Fully synthesized others' points of view within position.	Acknowledged other's perspectives within position.	Somewhat recognizes others' points of view.	begins to identify at least one other point of view.	does not address others' points of view.
Canalysians and valated					
Conclusions and related outcomes Conclusions are	Logical and reflect student's informed evaluation.	Logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints.	Logically tied to information (because information is chosen to fit the desired conclusion).	Inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed.	Not tied to information discussed.
Related outcomes (consequences and implications)	Ability to place evidence and perspectives discussed in priority order is established.	Most are identified clearly.	Some are identified clearly.	Not clearly identified.	Not addressed.