Bridge to Excellence

Year 9 Report June 3, 2010 Prepared by: B2E Coordinator Mike Bogue

Bridge to Excellence Retention Program Year 9 Administration

For Year 9 (Fall 2009), the Bridge to Excellence (B2E) program was open to all first-time, full-time freshmen enrolling at Arkansas Tech University's main campus, just as it had been for the previous seven years. **Mike Bogue** served as B2E Program Coordinator; this is the seventh year Mr. Bogue has worked with B2E.

For Year 9, Retention Services used Form B of Noel-Levitz's online College Student Inventory (CSI), just as it had the previous year. The CSI is a standardized survey designed to gauge a student's self-perceived academic, financial, and social readiness for college.

The CSI was administered on a daily basis from May 18, 2009, through August 31, 2009. After freshmen registered for their fall classes in the Academic Advising Center in Bryan Hall, they took the CSI in Room 247 of the Doc Bryan Student Services Building.

Bridge to Excellence Retention Program Mission

The B2E program's mission -- to help freshmen make a successful transition from high school (or work) to college -- remained the same. The program's continuing goals included:

- (1) increasing Arkansas Tech University's freshmen retention rate,
- (2) boosting freshmen participants' GPA's,
- (3) and improving Arkansas Tech University's graduation rates.

According to the 11th Class Day Report data for Spring 2010, the Fall 2009 freshman fall-to-spring retention rate was 84.68% -- this is almost 1.5 percentage points higher than the previous year's 85.00% retention rate, and it is also the highest Arkansas Tech main campus retention rate since 1993. It is logical to assume that since B2E targets freshmen, the program was an important contributing factor in raising Tech's fall-to-spring retention rates, which for the last three years have steadily risen.

In addition, Tech's main campus fall-to-fall retention rates have likewise increased. For the Fall 2008 freshman cohort, their fall-to-fall retention rate was 71.03%, over three percentage points higher than the previous year's 67.91% rate. In fact, the Fall 2008 to Fall 2009 retention rate of 71.03% is the highest return rate Tech has seen since 1993.

Demographics

For Year 9, B2E defined a program participant as a student who was a first-time, full-time freshman who both (1) took the CSI and (2) met at least one time with his or her assigned mentor during the fall semester. A non-participant was a student who did not meet with his or her mentor.

According to these definitions, 1,029 first-time, full-time freshmen participated in the program for Year 9. Since 1,472 first-time, full-time students entered Tech for the Fall 2009 semester, this meant that 443 new students did not participate.

The gender and ethnicity demographics of Year 9 B2E participants practically mirrored those of the Fall 2009 freshman class as a whole:

FALL 2007	B2E FIRST-TIME, FULL-TIME	ALL ATU FIRST-TIME,
	FRESHMAN	FULL-TIME
	PARTICIPANTS	FRESHMEN
Female	50.6%	50.2%
Male	49.4%	49.8%
Black/African American	3.6%	4.6%
American Indian/Alaskan	1.8%	1.8%
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.6%	1.0%
Hispanic/Latino	4.0%	4.1%
White/Caucasian	87.2%	87.1%
Other or Non- Resident Alien	1.7%	1.4%

Intervention

For Year 9 of the B2E program, 140 mentors took part – 90 faculty and 50 staff. The definition of a mentor continued to be a faculty or staff volunteer who helps his or her assigned freshmen to successfully make the transition from high school (or work) to college.

Mentors helped their mentees understand and evaluate their CSI results and also acted as the campus contact person when a mentee had a question or concern; mentors offered mentees encouragement, advice, information, and feedback. The core of the program remained the same – a faculty or staff member establishing a relationship with his or her assigned students.

B2E Coordinator Mike Bogue assigned each first-time, full-time, main campus freshman to a faculty or staff mentor. For the fourth year in a row, all faculty mentors were assigned mentees whose majors either fell under the faculty mentor's department or, barring that, under the faculty mentor's academic college. Meanwhile, deciding students were primarily assigned to Student Services staff and non-Student Services staff.

Each mentor was given two CSI Student Reports for each of his or her mentees; the mentor would keep one, then give the other to the mentee during the first mentor/mentee meeting. Based on the student's responses, the CSI Student Report included three sections:

- Student Background Information.
- Motivational Assessment.
- Specific Recommendations.

The mentor could use this information as a springboard to identify and encourage student strengths. In addition, the CSI could be used to determine what (if any) college-related challenges the student might have or anticipate having. When appropriate, the mentor could then refer the student to any relevant campus support service(s) and schedule a follow-up meeting. (Campus support services include academic tutoring labs, administrative departments, clubs and organizations, etc.)

At mid-term, B2E Coordinator Mike Bogue supplied each mentor with his or her mentees' mid-term grades. Mentors were encouraged to use this information to follow-up with their mentees, cheering on those mentees who were doing well academically and offering assistance/advice to those mentees who were struggling academically. At the close of the semester, mentors were also supplied with each mentee's final grades.

General Conclusions

All Fall 2009 B2E participants and non-participants were main campus freshmen only.

For Fall 2009 to Spring 2010, the retention rate for these main campus B2E participants (n=1,029) was higher than that for main campus non-participants (n=443).

According to the 11th Class Day Report data for Spring 2010, 90.48% of B2E partakers returned for the Spring 2010 semester, while only 77.20% of non-partakers came back. This means that the retention rate for B2E participants was 13.28% higher than that for non-participants.

For Fall 2008 to Fall 2009, the retention rate for these main campus B2E participants (n=890) was also higher than that for main campus non-participants (n=370). According to the 11^{th} Class Day Report data for Fall 2009, 75.17% of B2E partakers returned for the Fall 2009 semester, while only 60.81% of non-partakers came back. This means that the retention rate for B2E participants was 14.36% higher than that for non-participants.

The GPA (Grade Point Average) of both groups was also impacted, with B2E participants having a higher average GPA than non-participants. At the end of the Fall 2009 semester, the cumulative GPA for B2E partakers was 2.919, as compared to the overall GPA of 2.269 for non-partakers. This means B2E participants had a fall GPA that was 0.650 higher than the fall GPA of non-participants.

Appendices

- Appendix A contains the retention rate data for Years 1-9 of the program.
- However, Appendix B contains the retention rate data for Years 3-9 of the program (B2E was opened to all freshmen in Fall 2003).
- Appendix C contains the fall semester GPA data for Years 1-9.
- Next, Appendix D shows the Arkansas Tech retention rates for freshman cohorts from 2000 to 2009.
- Finally, Appendix E gives the Arkansas Tech graduation rates from 2000 to 2009.

BRIDGE TO EXCELLENCE YEAR 9 REPORT Appendix A

ATU Freshman Cohort Retention Rates by B2E Program Year *

Semester/	Number of	B2E	Non-	Percentage
Year	B2E		Participants'	Difference
	Program	Retention	Retention	In favor of
	Participants	Rate	Rate	B2E
FALL TO SPRING				
Year 1 (2001)	105	89.52%	81.91%	7.61%
Year 2 (2002)	310	86.77%	79.53%	7.24%
Year 3 (2003)	751	90.01%	74.83%	15.18%
Year 4 (2004)	811	87.92%	70.10%	17.82%
Year 5 (2005)	855	88.07%	72.47%	15.60%
Year 6 (2006)	764	88.99%	72.07%	16.92%
Year 7 (2007)	885	89.15%	76.40%	12.75%
Year 8 (2008)	890	87.64%	78.65%	8.99%
Year 9 (2009)	1029	90.48%	77.20%	13.28%
	AVERAGE:	88.73%	75.91%	12.82%
FALL TO FALL				
Year 1 (2001)	105	82.86%	66.00%	16.86%
Year 2 (2002)	310	72.26%	63.60%	8.66%
Year 3 (2003)	751	76.83%	59.01%	17.83%
Year 4 (2004)	811	73.98%	53.20%	20.78%
Year 5 (2005)	855	74.04%	58.71%	15.33%
Year 6 (2006)	764	73.95%	54.85%	19.10%
Year 7 (2007)	885	73.11%	58.49%	14.72%
Year 8 (2008)	890	75.17%	60.81%	14.36%
	AVERAGE:	75.28%	59.33%	15.96%

* Data from Arkansas Tech University Institutional Research.

BRIDGE TO EXCELLENCE YEAR 8 REPORT Appendix B

ATU Freshman Cohort Retention Rates by B2E Program Year Since <u>FULL IMPLEMENTATION</u> (Fall 2003)*

Semester/	Number of	B2E	Non-	Percentage
Year	B2E	Participants'	Participants'	Difference
	Program	Retention	Retention	In favor of
	Participants	Rate	Rate	B2E
Fall to Spring				
Year 3 (2003)	751	90.01%	74.83%	15.18%
Year 4 (2004)	811	87.92%	70.10%	17.82%
Year 5 (2005)	855	88.07%	72.47%	15.60%
Year 6 (2006)	764	88.99%	72.07%	16.92%
Year 7 (2007)	885	89.15%	76.40%	12.75%
Year 8 (2008)	890	87.64%	78.65%	8.99%
Year 9 (2009)	1029	90.48%	77.20%	13.28%
		88.89%	AVERAGE	: 14.36%
Fall to Fall				
Year 3 (2003)	751	76.83%	59.01%	17.82%
Year 4 (2004)	811	73.98%	53.20%	20.78%
Year 5 (2005)	855	74.04%	58.71%	15.33%
Year 6 (2006)	764	73.95%	54.85%	19.10%
Year 7 (2007)	885	73.11%	58.39%	14.72%
Year 8 (2008)	890	75.17%	60.81%	14.36%
		74.51%	AVERAGE	: 17.02%

* Data from Arkansas Tech University Institutional Research. Fall 2001 and 2002 were B2E pilot studies. B2E was opened up to all freshmen beginning in Fall 2003.

BRIDGE TO EXCELLENCE YEAR 8 REPORT Appendix C: ATU Freshman Cohort Grade Point Average (GPA) Comparison by B2E Program Year *

* Data from Arkansas Tech University Institutional Research.

Semester/Year	B2E	Non-	Difference	
	Participants	Participants		
End of Fall (1st Semester)				
Year 1 (2001)	NA	NA	NA	
Year 2 (2002)	2.886	2.379	0.507	
Year 3 (2003)	2.872	2.321	0.551	
Year 4 (2004)	2.780	2.323	0.457	
Year 5 (2005)	2.810	2.388	0.422	
Year 6 (2006)	2.875	2.240	0.635	
Year 7 (2007)	2.746	2.181	0.565	
Year 8 (2008)	2.878	2.293	0.585	
Year 9 (2009)	2.919	2.269	0.650	
		AVERAGE:	0.546	
End of Fall (2nd Semester)				
Year 1 (2001)	NA	NA	NA	
Year 2 (2002)	2.888	2.510	0.378	
Year 3 (2003)	2.885	2.371	0.514	
Year 4 (2004)	2.769	2.395	0.374	
Year 5 (2005)	2.827	2.541	0.286	
Year 6 (2006)	2.877	2.439	0.438	
		AVERAGE:	0.398	
End of Fall (3rd Semester)				
Year 1 (2001)	3.056	2.666	0.390	
Year 2 (2002)	2.955	2.670	0.285	
Year 3 (2003)	2.945	2.568	0.377	
Year 4 (2004)	2.910	2.594	0.316	
Year 5 (2005)	2.920	2.696	0.224	
Year 6 (2006)	2.957	2.539	0.418	
		AVERAGE	: 0.335	

BRIDGE TO EXCELLENCE YEAR 9 REPORT Appendix D

ATU Freshmen Cohort Retention Rates 2001-2009* (First-Time, Full-Time, Degree-Seeking)

* Most recent data from Arkansas Tech University Institutional Research. Data excludes cohort students who graduated within 2-6 years.

Cohort	First	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth	Sixth
Group	Semester	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year	Year
(Total	(Fall to						
Number)	Spring)	Fall)	Fall)	Fall)	Fall)	Fall)	Fall)
2000 (1124)	81.23%	64.68%	52.85%	46.17%	24.73%	10.32%	6.23%
2001 (1205)	82.57%	67.47%	53.03%	46.80%	24.40%	10.37%	6.14%
2002 (1170)	81.45%	65.90%	53.42%	46.58%	22.82%	10.43%	4.53%
2003 (1339)	83.35%	69.01%	56.16%	49.96%	25.69%	10.08%	6.05%
2004 (1296)	81.25%	66.20%	51.54%	46.14%	24.77%	10.42%	
2005 (1320)	82.58%	68.64%	55.45%	48.71%	26.89%		
2006 (1300)	82.15%	66.08%	49.85%	45.85%			
2007 (1368)	84.65%	67.91%	52.41%				
2008 (1260)	85.00%	71.03%					
2009 (1472)	86.48%						

BRIDGE TO EXCELLENCE YEAR 8 REPORT Appendix E

ATU Freshmen Cohort Graduation Rates 2000-2009 * (First-Time, Full-Time, Degree-Seeking)

* Most recent data from Arkansas Tech University Institutional Research.

Cohort Group (Total Number)	Graduated in 1 Year	Graduated in 2 Years	Graduated in 3 Years	Graduated in 4 Years	Graduated in 5 Years	Graduated in 6 Years	Eventually Graduated
2000 (1124)			0.36%	18.86%	32.03%	37.54%	42.53%
2001 (1205)			1.08%	19.92%	33.86%	38.09%	42.49%
2002 (1170)			0.60%	18.38%	31.97%	37.52%	40.85%
2003 (1339)		0.07%	0.97%	19.87%	35.55%	40.85%	41.37%
2004 (1296)		0.15%	0.69%	16.05%	31.17%		31.87%
2005 (1320)		0.08%	0.53%	19.85%			19.92%
2006 (1300)	0.08%	0.54%	1.62%				1.62%
2007 (1368)		0.15%					0.15%
2008 (1260)							
2009 (1472)							